OGC Has Reviewed

BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

Vouentions

Approved For Release 2001/11/01: CIA-RDP78-04718A002000250008-6

Dozyment No	0
Ho Change In Class.	u
[] Declassified	
Class. Changed to: TS S C	
Next Review Date:	
Auth.: HR 70-3	215
mate: 47070	By:

13 January 1956

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O

A STATE OF THE STA

STATINTL

MINORINDUM FOR:

THROUGH:

Assistant Miroster for Scientific Intelligence

SUBJECT

Compright

HEFERENCE:

Managed for Constel Council, CEA, from

STATINTL

- are embodied in Title 17 of the U. S. Code. Section 6 of that Title provides, inter alig. "No experight shall substat in the original text of . . . any publication of the United States Covernment." The case law is Inirly clear that a book written entirely on Covernment time by a Covernment employee in the course of his duties is Government property and its publication, whether undertaken directly by the Covernment or through a private publisher, would be a Covernment publication. The cases also indicate (viz., Sanyer vs. Crowall Pub. Co., by F. Supp. 571) that if the number should seeme a cappright, he would hold this cappright in trust for the Covernment. Consequently, since the Government would be the true legal owner, the cappright would be invalid.
- 2. Convergation with the Register of Copyrights does, bovever, reveal that there has been so clear judicial interprete tion of whether a book written partly on Opverment time and partly on the author's our time is messagely a Government . publication if the department of Government concessed chooses not to comreise any rights it may peacess. The question of what percentage of time against on the book was Government and what percentage was personal is ground but not messecully conclusive. It was suggested that the application for copyright filed by the enthor dose not require any indication that the author is a Government employee or that part of the blue be put on the book was Government Mine. Consequently, if the consermed department interposes no objection to the granting of copyright, the issue sould be raised only in subsequent littless tion where someone charged with infringement of the copyright might interpose as a defence that the best was astually a Covernment publication.

DD/S Subject

3. Since the respective legal and equitable rights of the author and of the Agency are undetermined and edght be considered controversial, the consistence of the attented agreements in order to settle the rights of the parties seems to provide sufficient consideration for the premises of temps.

ILLEGIB

